受験番号	番

2020年度 一橋大学大学院経済学研究科博士後期課程編入学試験問題

### マクロ経済学

実施日 2019年8月29日(木) 試験時間 9:30~12:30

#### 注意事項

- 1. 「解答はじめ」の指示があるまでは問題冊子を開いてはいけない。
- 2. 問題冊子は1冊(本文3ページ)、解答用紙は2枚、下書き用紙は1枚である。試験開始後直ちに確認し、枚数が異なる場合は挙手すること。
- 3. <u>すべての</u>解答用紙・下書き用紙、問題冊子の表紙に受験番号を記入せよ(解答用紙の2枚目以降にも忘れ ずに記入すること)。氏名を記入してはならない。なお、用紙は一切持ち帰ってはいけない。
- 4. 科目名を、解答用紙の科目欄に明記せよ。
- 5. 解答は横書きとする。解答用紙は裏面も使用できる。
- 6. 解答に際しては、原則として問題ごとに解答用紙を分けること。
- 7. 解答用紙の追加配付を希望する受験者には、追加配付を認める。また、解答用紙を汚損した場合、全面的に書き直しを要する場合などは、解答用紙の交換を認める。解答用紙の追加、交換を求める際には、試験中、静かに挙手すること。
- 8. 辞書その他の持ち込みは許可しない。

以上

※その他の試験科目についての注意事項は 問題冊子本文ページ数以外同内容のため割愛

# Macroeconomics 2019

There are two problems. Answer all problems in English or Japanese.

## Problem 1

Consider the following problem

$$\max_{\{(c_t, k_{t+1})\}_{t=0}^T} \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t \log c_t$$

subject to

$$c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta) k_t = A k_t^{\alpha} \text{ for } t = 0, \cdots, T,$$
  
$$k_0 > 0 \text{ is given,}$$

and

$$k_{T+1} \ge 0.$$

We assume that A > 0,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Notice that this household knows that the world will end at time T > 0. Also notice that choosing

$$k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta) k_t < 0 \text{ for } t = 0, \cdots, T$$

is allowed.

(1) Derive the Euler equation

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \left( 1 - \delta + A\alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} \right) \text{ for } t = 0, \cdots, T - 1$$

as optimality conditions.

(2) Show that  $k_{t+1} = k_t$  holds for  $t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$  if and only if  $c_t$  and  $k_t$  satisfy

$$c_t = \ell_k(k_t) \equiv Ak_t^{\alpha} - \delta k_t.$$

(3) Show that  $c_{t+1} = c_t$  holds for  $t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$  if and only if  $c_t$  and  $k_t$  satisfy

$$c_t = \ell_c(k_t) \equiv Ak_t^{\alpha} + (1-\delta)k_t - k^{ss},$$

where

$$k^{ss} \equiv \left(\frac{A\alpha}{\beta^{-1} - 1 + \delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}.$$

- (4) Draw  $\ell_k(k_t)$  and  $\ell_c(k_t)$  in  $(k_t, c_t)$ -space, measuring  $k_t$  on the horizontal axis and  $c_t$  on the vertical axis. If you did things correctly, the  $(k_t, c_t)$ -space should be partitioned into four different regions. In each of the four regions, draw arrows in the directions in which  $c_t$  and  $k_t$  will evolve.
- (5) Suppose that T = 1. Derive the optimal  $\{(c_t^*, k_{t+1}^*)\}_{t=0}^1$  that solves the household problem.
- (6) Plot in the phase diagram  $(k_0, c_0^*)$  and  $(k_1^*, c_1^*)$  where  $\{c_0^*, c_1^*\}$  and  $k_1^*$  are those you derived in the previous problem.
- (7) Now consider the otherwise same problem, including the amount of initial capital  $k_0$ , except for T, which is now set at T = 2. Derive the optimal  $\{(c_t^*, k_{t+1}^*)\}_{t=0}^2$  that solves the household problem.
- (8) Plot in the phase diagram  $(k_0, c_0^*)$ ,  $(k_1^*, c_1^*)$ , and  $(k_2^*, c_2^*)$  where  $\{c_0^*, c_1^*, c_2^*\}$  and  $\{k_1^*, k_2^*\}$  are those you derived in the previous problem. Explain how the trajectory changes from the case with T = 1, i.e., question (6).

## Problem 2

Let the set of natural numbers including zero be  $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  and the set of integers be  $\mathbf{Z} = \{..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ .

Time is discrete and each period is labeled by  $t \in \mathbf{N}$ . Consider an endowment economy with one non-storable good in each period. Suppose that there are three infinitely lived consumers (i = 1, 2, 3). Let  $c_t^i$  be consumer *i*'s consumption at period *t*. Assume that all the consumers have the same utility function

$$U(\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

where  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . In each period t, consumer i receives the endowment in the following way:

$$\omega_t^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 3j + i \text{ for some } j \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 3j + i + 1 \text{ for some } j \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ 4 & \text{if } t = 3j + i + 2 \text{ for some } j \in \mathbf{Z}. \end{cases}$$

- (1) Define the Arrow-Debreu equilibrium in this economy.
- (2) Derive the first order conditions of consumer i's problem in (1).
- (3) Characterize the Arrow-Debreu equilibrium in (1).
- (4) Let  $\alpha_i$  be the Pareto weight for consumer *i*. Write down the social planner's problem in this economy.
- (5) Solve the social planner's problem in (4).
- (6) Find the Pareto weight such that the allocations obtained in (3) and (5) are identical.
- (7) Define the sequential market equilibrium in this economy.
- (8) Show that the allocations in (1) and (7) are equivalent.

# Microeconomics

August 2019

There are three problems. Answer all problems either in Japanese or in English. Your style of academic writing will be evaluated as well as mathematics.

#### Problem 1

Consider an economy with two consumers (A, B), two firms (I,II), and three commodities (1, 2, 3). Consumer A has an initial endowment  $e^A = (1, 0, 0)$  and a utility function

$$U^{A}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) = \log x_{1} + 2\log x_{2} + 2\log x_{3},$$

where log is a natural logarithm. Consumer B has an initial endowment  $e^B = (1, 0, 0)$  and a utility function

$$U^B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2\log x_1 + 2\log x_2 + \log x_3.$$

Firms I, II are represented by the following production sets, respectively:

$$Y^{I} = \{(-t, 2t, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | t \ge 0\},\$$
$$Y^{II} = \{(-t, t, 3t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | t \ge 0\}.$$

We consider a competitive equilibrium of this economy. The price of commodity 1 is normalized to be one. A price vector is denoted by  $p = (1, p_2, p_3)$ .

- (1) Assuming that firms earn zero profit, derive consumers' demand functions.
- (2) Since production sets are represented by cones, firms indeed earn zero profit in equilibrium. Derive an equilibrium price candidate.
- (3) Derive a competitive equilibrium allocation.

#### Problem 2

Imagine an agent with wealth w, who faces a probability  $\pi \in (0, 1)$  of incurring a loss L. That is, her wealth becomes w - L with probability  $\pi$  and w with probability  $1 - \pi$ . The agent can insure against this loss by contracting an insurance policy that will pay out in the event of loss. One unit of insurance costs q and gives a payment of 1 if the loss occurs. Thus, if the agent buys z units of insurance, her wealth becomes w - qz - L + z with probability  $\pi$ , and w - qz with probability  $1 - \pi$ . Assume that this agent's preferences are represented by an expected utility function with a differentiable, strictly increasing, and strictly concave VNM function u. Assume that the agent chooses z by maximizing her expected utility

$$U(z) = \pi u(w - qz - L + z) + (1 - \pi)u(w - qz).$$

- (1) Suppose that the insurance market is competitive, which implies that an insurance company earns zero profit. Show that  $q = \pi$  under this assumption.
- (2) Assume that the insurance market is competitive. Show that the agent is fully insured against the loss; that is, z = L.
- (3) Assume that the insurance market is not competitive and an insurance company charges a unit cost of insurance  $q > \pi$ . Show that the agent is not fully insured against the loss; that is, z < L.
- (4) Assume  $q > \pi$ . Moreover, assume that the agent's Arrow-Pratt measure  $r^A(x) = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}$  is strictly decreasing in x. Show that  $\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial w \partial z} < 0$ . (Hint: Substitute the first-order condition into  $\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial w \partial z}$ .)
- (5) Under the same assumption as in (4), show that the agent's optimal choice z will decrease with wealth w.

#### Problem 3

There are two identical firms, i = 1, 2, that produce a homogeneous commodity with the same constant marginal costs  $c \in (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3})$ . For the demand side, we assume an inverse demand function P(X) = 1 - X, where X is total demand and P(X) is a market clearing price at X. Firm *i* is owned by owner *i*. The owner *i* hires a manager *i* of the firm and chooses an incentive plan for manager *i*. Consider the following two-stage duopoly game. At stage 1, owners i = 1, 2 simultaneously choose an incentive plan  $(\alpha_i, f_i)$ , where  $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$  is a weight between profit and revenue and  $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is a monetary transfer from owner *i* to manager *i* (which could be negative). At stage 2, if manager *i* accepts the incentive plan  $(\alpha_i, f_i)$ , managers i = 1, 2play a Cournot (quantity) competition; that is, they simultaneously choose an output level  $x_i$ . Given  $(\alpha_i, f_i)$ , manager *i*'s payoff function is given by

$$I_i(x_i, x_{-i}, \alpha_i, f_i) = \alpha_i \pi_i + (1 - \alpha_i) P(x_i + x_{-i}) x_i + f_i,$$

where  $\pi_i = (P(x_i + x_{-i}) - c)x_i$  is the profit of firm *i*. If  $\alpha_i = 1$ , the manager maximizes profit  $\pi_i$ , while if  $\alpha_i = 0$ , the manager maximizes revenue  $P(x_i + x_{-i})x_i$ . Note that manager *i*'s payoff function is rewritten as

$$I_{i}(x_{i}, x_{-i}, \alpha_{i}, f_{i}) = P(x_{i} + x_{-i})x_{i} - \alpha_{i}cx_{i} + f_{i}$$

Moreover, we assume that the managers' reservation utility is zero; that is, if  $I_i(x_i, x_{-i}, \alpha_i, f_i) \ge 0$ , the manager will accept this incentive plan.

- (1) Given  $(\alpha_i, f_i)$ , i = 1, 2, derive a Nash equilibrium at the second stage.
- (2) Owner *i*'s payoff function is given by the profit minus payment to the manager; that is,  $\pi_i I_i$ . At an optimum of owner's choice, show that  $I_i$  can be set to zero by choosing  $f_i$  appropriately.
- (3) Given the Nash equilibrium at the second stage, derive owner *i*'s reduced form of payoff function, which depends on  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_{-i}$ .
- (4) Derive a Nash equilibrium at the first stage.

## Statistics $\cdot$ Econometrics

Answer both Problems 1 and 2 either in Japanese or in English.

Problem 1. Answer EITHER problem 1-1 or problem 1-2.

- 1-1. (Probability and Statistics) Answer EITHER problem (a) or problem (b).
  - (a) Let X be a random variable according to an unknown distribution  $P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta$ where  $\Theta$  is a parameter space. Answer questions i and ii.
    - i. Prove that  $\delta(X)$  is uniformly minimum variance unbiased (UMVU) for estimating  $g(\theta) = E_{\theta}[\delta(X)]$  if and only if  $\forall \theta, Cov[\delta(X), U(X)] = 0$  for all U(X): unbiased estimator of zero, i.e. U(X) is a statistic satisfying  $E_{\theta}[U(X)] = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta.$
    - ii. Suppose that  $\delta_1(X)$  and  $\delta_2(X)$  are UMVU estimators of  $g_1(\theta) = E_{\theta}[\delta_1(X)]$ and  $g_2(\theta) = E_{\theta}[\delta_2(X)]$ , respectively. Prove that  $\delta_1(X) + \delta_2(X)$  is the UMVU estimator of  $g_1(\theta) + g_2(\theta)$ .
  - (b) For each  $n, X_{n,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are *i.i.d.* random variables according to a discrete distribution with a probability function given by

$$P\{X_{n,i}=k\} = \left(1-\frac{\beta}{n}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{n}\right)^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{n,i}$ . Prove that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_n$  converges weakly to the non-degenerate limit and identify the limiting distribution.

- 1-2. (Econometrics) Answer EITHER problem (a) or problem (b).
  - (a) Consider the following regression model:

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon,$$

where y is an n by 1 dependent variable, X is an n by k regressor,  $\varepsilon$  is an n by 1 disturbance, and  $\beta$  is a k by 1 unknown parameter. We would like to estimate  $\beta$  under restrictions given by  $H_0: R\beta = r$ , where R is q by k with rank(R) = q, r is q by 1, and R and r are known. We thus consider the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\beta)' (y - X\beta) + 2(R\beta - r)'\lambda.$$
(1)

We also assume that the following relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{n}X'X \to_p \Sigma, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X'\varepsilon \to_d N(0,V)$$

where  $\Sigma$  and V are positive definite. Answer questions i-iv.

- i. Derive the first order conditions for the estimation using (1).
- ii. Let  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$  be the solutions of the first order conditions derived in question i. Prove that they are expressed as

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta} &= \hat{\beta} - \left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right)^{-1}R'\tilde{\lambda}, \\ \tilde{\lambda} &= \left[R\left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right)^{-1}R'\right]^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r), \end{split}$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the OLS estimator of  $\beta$  without restrictions.

- iii. Derive the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}\lambda$  under  $H_0$ .
- iv. Suppose that  $\hat{V}$  is the consistent estimator of V. The validity of restrictions  $H_0$  can be investigated by testing whether  $\lambda = 0$  or not. Explain how to test  $\lambda = 0$  by using  $\tilde{\lambda}$ .
- (b) Consider the following simple dynamic panel data model with unobserved individual effects:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha y_{i,t-1} + \eta_i + u_{i,t}$$
, for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ,

where  $|\alpha| < 1$  and  $y_{i,0} = \eta_i/(1-\alpha)$ . Let the individual effects  $\eta_i$  follow  $i.i.d.(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$  and the idiosyncratic errors  $u_{i,t}$  follow  $i.i.d.(0, \sigma_u^2)$ , where  $\{\eta_i\}$  and  $\{u_{i,t}\}$  are independent. The goal is to consistently estimate  $\alpha$  under N and  $T \to \infty$ . Answer questions i-v.

- i. Show that the pooled OLS estimator  $\hat{\alpha}_{OLS}$ , i.e. regressing  $y_{i,t}$  on  $y_{i,t-1}$ , is inconsistent.
- ii. Show that the within-group fixed effect estimator  $\hat{\alpha}_{WG}$ , i.e. regressing  $(y_{i,t} \bar{y}_i)$  on  $(y_{i,t-1} \bar{y}_i)$  where  $\bar{y}_i = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{i,t}$ , is inconsistent.
- iii. Show that the OLS in first-differences fixed effect estimator  $\hat{\alpha}_{FD}$ , i.e. regressing  $(y_{i,t} y_{i,t-1})$  on  $(y_{i,t-1} y_{i,t-2})$ , is inconsistent.
- iv. Propose an instrumental variable (IV) estimator to consistently estimate  $\alpha$ . For simplicity, you may use only one instrumental variable.
- v. Derive the asymptotic distribution of the IV estimator proposed in question iv.

Problem 2. Answer EITHER problem 2-1 or problem 2-2.

- 2-1. (Probability and Statistics) Answer BOTH problem (a) and problem (b).
  - (a) Let  $X_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  be *i.i.d.* $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $Y_j, j = 1, \dots, n$  be *i.i.d.* $N(\mu, \tau^2)$ , where  $\{X_i\}$  and  $\{Y_j\}$  are independent. Answer questions i-v.
    - i. Find a set of jointly minimal sufficient statistics for  $(\mu, \sigma^2, \tau^2)$ .
    - ii. Is the statistics in question i. complete sufficient?
    - In questions iii-v, assume  $\sigma^2 = k\tau^2$ , where k > 0 is a known constant.
    - iii. Find a set of jointly complete sufficient statistics for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
    - iv. Find the uniformly minimum variance unbiased (UMVU) estimator of  $\mu$ . v. Find the UMVU estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .
  - (b) Let X and Y be *i.i.d.* geometric random variables with probability  $P\{X = k\} = P\{Y = k\} = pq^k, k = 0, 1, \dots, p + q = 1, 0 . Answer questions i-iii.$ 
    - i. Find the probability distribution of  $U = \min\{X, Y\}$ .
    - ii. Find the probability distribution of V = X Y.
    - iii. Prove that U and V are independent.
- 2-2. (Econometrics) Answer BOTH problem (a) and problem (b).
  - (a) Consider the following regression model with structural change in variance:

$$y_t = x'_t \beta + \varepsilon_t, \quad \text{for} \quad t = 1, \cdots, T,$$

where  $x_t$  is a k by 1 regressor,  $\beta$  is a k by 1 parameter, and  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is a sequence of independent normal random variables with  $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$  for all t,  $E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma_1^2$  for  $t = 1, \dots, [T/2]$ , and  $E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma_2^2$  for  $t = [T/2] + 1, \dots, T$  with [a] denoting the integer part of a. The regressor  $\{x_t\}$  is independent of  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  and the following weak law of large numbers and the central limit theorem hold:

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_t x_t' \to_p \Sigma, \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^{[T/2]} x_t \varepsilon_t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=[T/2]+1}^{T} x_t \varepsilon_t \right\} \to_d \{z_1, z_2\}.$$

where  $\Sigma$  is positive definite,  $z_1 \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2}\Sigma\right)$ ,  $z_2 \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\Sigma\right)$ , and  $z_1$  is independent of  $z_2$ . Answer questions i-v.

- i. Derive the limiting distribution of the OLS estimator of  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$ .
- ii. Suppose that  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are known. Write down the GLS estimator of  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ .
- iii. Derive the limiting distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ .
- iv. Show that the asymptotic variance of the GLS estimator is smaller than that of the OLS estimator and that they are the same only in the case where  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ .

- v. In practice, we do not know the true values of  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ . Explain how to construct the feasible GLS estimator.
- (b) The data is generated by the following linear model:

$$y_i = x_{1,i}\beta_1 + x_{2,i}\beta_2 + u_i$$
, for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ ,

where  $y_i$  is a dependent variable,  $x_{1,i}$  and  $x_{2,i}$  are scalars of nonrandom regressors with unknown coefficients  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . The error term  $u_i$  follows  $i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2)$ . The goal is to estimate  $\beta_1$  while you may or may not control  $x_{2,i}$  in your regression. Let  $\hat{\beta}_1$  be an OLS estimator in a regression of  $y_i$  on  $x_{1,i}$  and  $x_{2,i}$  and let  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  be an OLS estimator in a regression of  $y_i$  on  $x_{1,i}$  only. Answer questions i-iii.

- i. Derive the bias, the variance, and the mean squared error (MSE) of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .
- ii. Derive the bias, the variance, and the MSE of  $\tilde{\beta}_1$ .
- iii. Show, step-by-step, that the MSE of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is smaller than the MSE of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  if and only if

$$r_{1,2}^2 > 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\beta_2^2 (\sum_{i=1}^n x_{2,i}^2)},$$

where

$$r_{1,2}^2 = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i} x_{2,i})^2}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i}^2)(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{2,i}^2)}$$

# 政治経済学

#### **Political Economy**

次の第1題から第4題のうち、2題を選択して解答しなさい。 (解答の冒頭に、選択した問題の番号を明記すること。)

Answer only two of the following four problems.

(Write the number of the problem at the beginning of each answer.)

#### 第1題

マルクス(Karl Marx)の商品論・貨幣論に関する以下の問いに答えな さい。

- (1) 商品の「価値(value)」について説明しなさい。
- (2) 「1単位の商品Aは、x単位の金に値する」という商品の価値 表現のしくみについて、説明しなさい。

#### Problem 1:

Answer the following two questions about Karl Marx's theory of commodities and money.

- 1. Describe the "value" of commodities.
- 2. Explain the mechanism of the following expression of commodity value: "one unit of commodity A is worth *x* units of gold."

#### 第2題

「価値の生産価格への転化」について論じなさい。

#### Problem 2:

Discuss the "transformation of values into prices of production."

#### 第3題

宮本憲一は『環境経済学』において「近代経済学の環境経済論の限界」 を論じている。その内容を、以下の用語を用いて簡潔に説明しなさい。 「市場の失敗」、「社会的費用」、「公共的介入」、「費用便益分析」、 「経済的手段」

#### Problem 3:

In his book entitled *Environmental Economics*, Ken'ichi Miyamoto discussed "the limitations of environmental economics in modern economics." Explain the contents briefly using the following terms: "market failure", "social cost", "public intervention", "cost-benefit analysis", and "economic tools."

#### 第4題

社会科学における「比較」の意義について、他の学問分野とりわけ自然 科学との対比の上で論じなさい。

#### Problem 4:

Discuss how the comparative approach in the social science is different from that of other research fields such as the natural sciences.

# 経済史 Economic History

次のすべての問題に日本語もしくは英語で解答しなさい。 Answer all questions either in Japanese or English.

第1題

経済史研究における理論の役割について、斎藤修『新版 比較史の遠近法』(書籍工房早山、2015 年)にもとづき、以下の2点に留意しながら、記述しなさい。

- (1) 歴史研究のリアリズムの系譜、たとえば英国の Gregorian realism と Maltusian realism など
- (2) 比較史への展望

# Question 1

Explain the role of theory or theoretical thinking in the research of economic history from the following viewpoints as defined in *Hikakushi-no-Enkin-ho: Shinpan* (Shoseki-kobo Hayama 2015) by Osamu Saito.

- from the history of realism in social science such as Gregorian realism and Malthusian realism in Great Britain
- (2) from the perspective of comparative history

## 第2題

C.A. ベイリ(平田雅博ほか訳)『近代世界の誕生―グローバルな連関と比較 1780-1914―』(名 古屋大学出版会、2018年)で定義されている「初期グローバル化」局面から「国際主義」局面へ の移行が及ぼした社会経済的影響について、具体的な事例を用いて説明しなさい。

# Question 2

Through the use of a concrete case, explain the socio-economic influences of the transformation from the phase of "Archaic Globalization" to that of "Internationalism" as defined in *The Birth of the Modern World*, *1780-1914: Global Connections and Comparisons* (Blackwell 2004) by Christopher A. Bayly.